

Kingborough



COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

8 April 2026

These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.

Kingborough Councillors 2022 - 2026



Mayor
Councillor Paula Wriedt



Deputy Mayor
Councillor Clare Glade-Wright



Councillor Aldo Antolli



Councillor David Bain



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Kaspar Deane



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Mark Richardson



Councillor Christian Street

Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
Open Session			
	1	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	2	Attendees	1
	3	Apologies	1
C95/6-2026	4	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	5	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
	6	Declarations of Interest	2
	7	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
C96/6-2026	8	Questions without Notice from the Public	2
	8.1	Policies	2
C97/6-2026	9	Questions on Notice from the Public	3
	9.1	Right to Information	3
	9.2	Rabbit Control	4
	9.3	Encroachment of Council Land to the Exclusion of the Public	4
	9.4	Council's Risk Appetite in Relation to Landscape Values	5
	9.5	SAP Review Surveys and Additional Feedback	5
	9.6	Verification of Natural Values (Threatened and Endangered Species) at Bonnet Hill	6
C98/6-2026	10	Questions without Notice from Councillors	6
	10.1	Pesticides	6
	10.2	Housing Incentives	7
	10.3	Vegetation Clearing, Spring Farm	7
	10.4	Alonnah Oval	7
C99/6-2026	11	Questions on Notice from Councillors	8
	12	Officers Reports to Planning Authority	9
C100/6-2026	12.1	Development Application for Link Road at 67 Village Drive and Alfreds Garden (CT 186856/1 and CT 187583/555), Kingston	9
	13	Petitions still being Actioned	14
	14	Petitions Received in Last Period	14
	15	Officers Reports to Council	14
C101/6-2026	15.1	Land Lease - Kingborough Sports Precinct	14
C102/6-2026	15.2	AGM Notice of Motion Response - Supporting Residents to Improve Energy Efficiency	14

Table of Contents

Item			Page No.
C103/6-2026	15.3	AGM Motion - Engagement with Rural Communities	15
C104/6-2026	15.4	Treasury Management Policy Review	15
C105/6-2026	15.5	Proposed New Road Name - 'Trial Bay View', Kettering	15
C106/6-2026	15.6	Appendices	15
	16	Notices of Motion	15
C107/6-2026	17	Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	15

Public Copy

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston
Wednesday, 8 April 2026 at 5.30pm

WELCOME

The Chairperson declared the meeting open and welcomed all in attendance. The Chairperson made a statement in terms of Section 8 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025* and advised that audio recordings of Council meetings are made publicly available on Council’s website and are live streamed on YouTube.

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today’s Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

2 ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Acting Mayor Councillor C Glade-Wright	✓
Councillor A Antolli	✓
Councillor D Bain	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor K Deane	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor M Richardson	✓ (arrived at 5.34pm)
Councillor C Street	✓

Staff:

Acting Chief Executive Officer	Mr Daniel Smee
Director People & Finance	Mr David Spinks
Director Engineering Services	Mr Craig Mackey
Manager Environmental Services	Ms Liz Quinn
Manager Kingborough Sports Precinct	Ms Kristy Pereira
Lead Strategic Planner	Mr Adriaan Stander
Team Leader – Statutory Planning	Ms Sarah Silva
Climate Change Advisor	Mr Padraig Pearce
Communications Lead	Ms Sam Adams
Communications Officer	Mr Stuart Heather
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

3 APOLOGIES

Mayor, Councillor P Wriedt

C95/6-2026

4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No. 5 held on 16 March 2026 be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED

5 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

Date	Topic	Detail
23 March	Budget	Council’s financial position and preliminary discussion on the formulation of the 2026/27 budget.
30 March	Capital Program	Update on process improvements in the delivery of capital projects and discussion on the draft capital program for 2026/27.

6 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

7 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

Council has determined that questions on notice or questions taken on notice from a previous meeting should not contain lengthy preambles or embellishments and should consist of a question only. To this end, Council reserves the right to edit questions for brevity so as to table the question only, with some context if need be, for clarity.

C96/6-2026

8 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

Ms Karen Groves asked the following question without notice:

8.1 Policies

In Council's quarterly summary action report to December 2025, they mention that they will be developing an unsealed roads policy by June this year and a rural stormwater policy also by June this year. How will either of these policies, maybe in theory, involve community input and how, especially considering some of the low literacy levels in rural communities?

Director Engineering Services responds:

To be transparent, I haven't fully considered how we will get consultation from the community on both of those policies. We've been short staffed in both of those areas over the last three to four months

and again, being transparent, we're lagging behind in the development of both of those policies, so I'll take that question on notice.

C97/6-2026

9 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

9.1 Right to Information

Mr Andrew Denman submitted the following question on notice:

The Right to Information Act 2009 (Tas) makes clear that its objects include improving democratic government by increasing accountability and enabling public participation in governance, and that information held by public authorities, including councils, is collected for and on behalf of the people of Tasmania and should be made available to provide the maximum amount of official information, reflecting an expectation of proactive disclosure.

Does Council have an Information Disclosure Policy consistent with its obligations under sections 12 and 23 of the Act and the Ombudsman's Guideline No. 3, issued 19 August 2010? If so, is that policy published on Council's website, and can Council identify the webpage on which it appears?

If not, will Council commit to developing and publishing such a policy on its website within the next 30 days?

Additionally, in the interests of transparency and open government, will Council commit to establishing a public RTI disclosure log on its website within the same 30-day timeframe to improve transparency and reduce the need for duplicate RTI applications?

Officer's Response:

Council considers that it complies with the Ombudsman Tasmania Guideline No. 3 of 2010 as well as Sections 12 & 23 in the *Right to Information Act 2009 (TAS)* ('Act').

Council has an information disclosure policy document titled "Release of Documents Policy 3.22, which is available on Council's website under the sub-heading of the "Council" icon.

In respect of information pertaining to Right to Information applications ('RTI applications'), details about the RTI process and a link to the application form is also available on the Council's website under the "Council" sub-heading icon.

There are also a number of other public disclosure examples on the Council's website, including but not limited to Annual Reports, Annual Plans, and Budget Estimates.

While Council considers that it currently meets its statutory obligations in relation to public disclosure, it is committed to the ongoing review of its practices. This includes continued education and improvement in this area, as well as a future commitment to actively disclose RTI statistics in Council's Annual Report.

In response to the specific suggestion of establishing a public RTI disclosure log on our website, in the vast majority of applications received by Council, most applications are specific to a particular matter, such as a specific property or a specific issue and in such circumstances, for the most part, there would likely be little interest on the part of the wider community being made aware that such a RTI application has been made.

Further, the main issue is Council's strict statutory obligation to comply in full with the relevant privacy legislation to release such details to the public. Council would need both the consent of the person that has lodged the RTI application with Council as well as all parties who information has formed part of the RTI response by Council. To list any reference to the said RTI application on Council's website and clearly for the most part, it is to be expected that such consent would not be provided.

Further, under the Act, a lot of information is exempt from being disclosed for a number of different reasons including but not limited to, the information may consist of confidential communications between Council staff or for legal professional privilege reasons, and therefore any RTI response that was made public would very likely be largely redacted, and would offer little information or insight for other members of the public.

It is however noted and acknowledged of course that some matters would be of interest to the wider community, but it is very often the case that current and updated information about such matters is already readily available on Council's website or in other publications.

Subject to the commitment to include future active disclosure of RTI statistics in Council's Annual Report, Council does not consider that having a public RTI disclosure log would be of great assistance to the public.

David Rosen, Legal Counsel

9.2 Rabbit Control

Mr Larry Dunnett submitted the following question on notice:

There is a large population of rabbits which live in a large strip of blackberry brambles on council land (reserved road) adjoining our property. We have attempted to control the population on our property in line with NRE guidance, but control is impossible without the removal of the blackberries. Does the council have responsibilities for control of the blackberries (as a declared weed in Tasmania), which will help to control the population of the rabbits which as listed as an invasive species?

Officer's Response:

Blackberry (*Rubus fruticosus* aggregate) is a declared weed under the *Biosecurity Act 2019*, with management responsibilities defined through the statutory weed management framework. In Kingborough, blackberry is classified as a Class B weed, requiring all landowners to take reasonable and practical measures to manage infestations. This obligation is risk-based and does not require immediate or comprehensive treatment of all occurrences.

Council acknowledges that dense blackberry can provide harbour for rabbits; however, control is also subject to significant legislative and operational constraints. In Tasmania, herbicide application is prohibited when plants are fruiting, substantially narrowing the available treatment window. This, together with seasonal and site-specific constraints, limits how and when successful control measures can be implemented. Effective control also requires a sustained, multi-year approach, with previously treated areas considered a priority before commencing management of new sites.

Given the widespread nature of blackberry, and the highlighted management constraints, Council takes a prioritised approach to blackberry control based on risk to environmental values and assets, legislative requirements, and the likelihood of successful outcomes. The site in question will be assessed and may be considered for future works; however, any action is subject to prioritisation as outlined, available resources, and suitable treatment conditions.

Rene Raichert, Natural Areas and Biodiversity Coordinator

9.3 Encroachment of Council Land to the Exclusion of the Public

Trail Riders Action Club submitted the following question on notice:

What action does Council employ as appropriate to reclaim Council lands that have been purloined by neighbouring properties and fenced, to the exclusion of the public?

Officer's Response:

While each matter is assessed on its individual merits, Council may either take no action, undertake remedial works, or issue notices under its By-laws to address encroachments onto Council land. Council does not have the resources to proactively identify or review encroachments. Accordingly, any rectification is managed within an established priority framework and is generally undertaken in conjunction with other processes, such as Development Applications, compliance investigations, or enforcement action.

Scott Basham, Manager Legal & Property

9.4 Council's Risk Appetite in Relation to Landscape Values

Mr Brendan Charles submitted the following question on notice:

At the previous meeting, in consideration of the LCZ zoning council resolved to advise the TPC that it's 'risk appetite in relation to question of impact to landscape values is high'. Could council please confirm how this position might now influence the application of SAPs, in particular to Bonnet Hill, where landscape value was previously used as one part of the justification for that SAP. Given the very low potential for any further development of land within the ~125 properties within the proposed SAP (less than 5 are vacant blocks and very few others have potential for subdivision or space & access for further dwellings), does it now reasonably follow that an SAP is no longer required for Bonnet Hill?

Officer's Response:

The outcome of the SAP review, undertaken by an independent consultant, will be tabled in a future Council Agenda. As with the LCZ review, Council will have the opportunity to consider the findings and make recommendations to the Tasmanian Planning Commission (TPC) based on that report. It is unlikely that a position on risk appetite can be provided before Council has had sufficient time consider the outcomes of the review.

Adriaan Stander, Lead Strategic Planner

9.5 SAP Review Surveys and Additional Feedback

Mr Brendan Charles submitted the following question on notice:

At a previous meeting, council staff provided an update on data collection from the on-line survey distributed in relation to the independent review of the SAPs and a commitment that the data and further submissions would be tabled.

Could council please table and confirm the final numbers of responses, broken down by the various SAP areas and provide analytic reports for each area and question?

Could council also confirm that every survey response and any additional feedback provided via the general e-mail address (kc@kingborough) has all been provided to the independent consultant and will be on-forwarded to the TPC?

Officer's Response:

A total of 129 responses were received to the survey, comprising 96 from Bruny Island, 19 from Bonnet Hill, 5 from Blackmans Bay Bluff and 9 outside these localities. The survey findings relevant to the SAP area will be presented in a future Council Agenda to outline the outcomes of the SAP review being undertaken by the independent consultant. All responses, including those submitted by email or in alternative formats, will be incorporated into that report.

Adriaan Stander, Lead Strategic Planner

9.6 Verification of Natural Values (Threatened and Endangered Species) at Bonnet Hill

Mr Brendan Charles submitted the following question on notice:

Within the 'LPS Supporting Document 2024', claims are made in relation to the presence of endangered and threatened species at Bonnet Hill as part of the 'natural values' justification for the proposed SAP (Attachment 11, page 188 onwards). No cross references, footnotes or Bibliography was provided with this document.

Despite multiple questions being raised to staff directly, at TPC hearings and via e-mails to council enquires and many promises that evidence and reports do exist to substantiate these claims and that this evidence would be shared with residents, nothing has ever been forthcoming.

Could council please table at the meeting any report that is contemporary (published within the last 5 years), publicly available, credible (i.e. scientifically based and peer reviewed) and that has been relied upon in making these claims as part of the justification for the SAP. Please note that as the Bonnet Hill SAP is specific to the ~125 properties within the proposed boundary, any such evidence and report should also be definitive and specific to those 125 properties to be considered valid.

If no such detail and evidence can be tabled, will council please note that outcome and advise the TPC that any reference to threatened or endangered species as justification for the Bonnet Hill SAP should be withdrawn and ignored.

Officer's Response:

There is no report less than five years old that is specific to Bonnet Hill to inform the SAP. Instead, the parts of the proposed SAP relating to vegetation management and collision-risk provisions have been informed by a combination of datasets, existing documentation and local knowledge. Some of this information is more than five years old. Data and documentation relied upon include:

- Regional Ecosystem Model data developed for the Natural Assets Code, including vegetation community mapping and habitat information for threatened species.
- Threatened fauna habitat descriptions and range boundaries prepared by the Forest Practices Authority.
- Threatened species recovery plans, listing statements, and species profiles produced by the Department of Natural Resources and Environment (NRE).

The findings of the SAP review undertaken by an independent consultant will be tabled at a future Council meeting and subsequently provided to the Tasmanian Planning Commission (TPC) for consideration as part of their deliberations. The TPC also retains the ability to request further information from the Planning Authority to justify any component of the SAP.

Adriaan Stander, Lead Strategic Planner

C98/6-2026

10 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

Cr Cordover asked the following questions without notice:

10.1 Pesticides

Recently, Griffith University released a new analysis of Australia's highest volume pesticide use, revealing that the majority of products widely used in Australian agriculture are banned in other parts of the world. The headline there in the newspaper was around 84%. Has this new research-influenced councils thinking around the various pesticides that are used?

Manager Environmental Services responds:

No, I'm not aware of that research, so thank you for bringing it to our attention. Council doesn't use that many pesticides. Mostly we use herbicide, and we do that in our strategic weed control program. We also, of course, use herbicide more generally in the maintenance of our public open space areas and roadside reserves, and we do that in line with legislation, as we've talked about so we try to minimise it and we certainly only use those pesticides as per the current Australian regulations.

10.2 Housing Incentives

In the last month there's been a lot of movement in this space, both from Brighton and from Hobart City Council. Brighton recently said that if you build a house in Brighton you won't pay rates for the first 12 months. Then seven days ago I see that Hobart City Council has released their inner city housing supply incentive policy. With all of these other councils taking a lot of action on housing, what is Kingborough going to do about that and will it become a main area of our focus in the next in the near term?

Acting Chief Executive Officer responds:

I guess the simple answer to that question is it will become a priority if Council makes it one. At the moment we have our Statement on Housing and we have the actions that are contained within that statement, but broadly speaking, initiatives such as financial incentives will need to be considered by Council as part of the budget formulation and other measures will require a decision from Council to actively pursue them. If the question is around what are we currently doing, we are currently doing what is listed in our Statement on Housing and nothing more. It will take some initiative of Council for us to be doing more than what we're currently doing.

Cr Midgley asked the following question without notice:

10.3 Vegetation Clearing, Spring Farm

A couple of weeks ago I was made aware of some vegetation clearing in Spring Farm near Apollone Drive. I then saw that myself and I was quite surprised to see that vegetation being removed. I thought that area was meant to be protected. How many significant trees were cleared? Was there any consultation for the environmental impact and wildlife in this area because I thought that that part of that subdivision initially had overlays and codes to protect that area?

Lead Strategic Planner responds:

My understanding is that the vegetation's been cleared through a forestry practice plan. Unfortunately, I can't really give you more information on that, so perhaps we can take that on notice.

Cr Antolli asked the following question without notice:

10.4 Alonnah Oval

I was at the Alonnah Hall on the weekend for the woodchopping festival but there was a problem with foul odour coming from the septic system, and so the question that I got hammered with was, of course, how often is the septic tanks cleared. I'm assuming that's a Council responsibility or is it the responsibility of someone else? And what is the situation with that?

Acting Chief Executive Officer responds:

It's not an issue that's been raised with us, but we can certainly follow it up.

C99/6-2026**11 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS**

11.1 Soft Plastics

Cr Fox submitted the following question on notice:

1. *Has the wider community been informed that soft plastics should not be placed in their regular bins?*
2. *What happens with this soft plastic, once it reaches the depot?*
3. *What happens to the soft plastic which is deposited in regular bins?*
4. *What are the benefits of removing soft plastics from Council's regular bin collection?*

Officer's Response:

1. There are no restrictions on residents placing soft plastics in their kerbside waste bin. However, the soft plastics recycling program provides an alternative for those who wish to recycle these materials. Ongoing communication through the Council website, waste and recycling guides, bin stickers, and social and print media encourages residents to keep soft plastics out of their kerbside recycling bins.
2. Soft plastics are collected fortnightly from Barretta and transported to a facility in Austins Ferry where they are weighed and consolidated with other Council collections before being sent to a processing facility in Victoria. There, the materials are shredded/processed and transported to recycling facilities to be converted into products such as feedstock, oil, asphalt additives, building insulation, or pellets for use in building materials.
3. Soft plastics placed in kerbside recycling bins are separated out during the sorting process at recycling facilities and are then treated as contaminated materials, meaning they are sent to landfill along with other non-recyclable materials.
4. Recycling soft plastic reduces the total amount of material sent to landfill and provides a source material for other products listed in answer 2.

Stuart Baldwin, Manager Kingborough Waste Services

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

PLANNING AUTHORITY IN SESSION

Planning Authority commenced at 5.42pm

12 OFFICERS REPORTS TO PLANNING AUTHORITY

C100/6-2026

12.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR LINK ROAD AT 67 VILLAGE DRIVE AND ALFREDS GARDEN (CT 186856/1 AND CT 187583/555), KINGSTON

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr David Bain

That the Planning Authority resolves that the development application for link road at 67 Village Drive and Lot 555 Alfreds Garden (CT 187583/555), Kingston, for Christian Homes Tasmania Ltd be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Except as otherwise required by this Permit, use and development of the land must be substantially in accordance with Development Application No. DA-2025-445 and Council Plan Reference No. P2 submitted on 10 February 2026.

This Permit relates to the use of land or buildings irrespective of the applicant or subsequent occupants, and whoever acts on it must comply with all conditions in this Permit. Any amendment, variation or extension of this Permit requires further planning consent of Council.

2. Prior to the permit coming into effect, all offset requirements must be satisfied and start of works issued for DA-2024-286 and DA-2025-23.
3. Prior to the Permit coming into effect, revised Emergency Management Strategies endorsed by the Tasmania Fire Service for the vulnerable uses on Lot 555 Alfreds Garden and 67 Village Drive must be submitted to Council.

When endorsed and submitted, these strategies form part of the permit.

4. Prior to the Permit coming into effect, revised Bushfire Hazard Reports, Bushfire Hazard Management Plans and s51 certificates must be submitted to Council for endorsement for the vulnerable uses approved under DA-2024-86 for 67 Village Drive (CT 186856/1) and DA-2025-23 for Lot 555 Alfreds Garden (CT 187583/555).

This documentation must be:

- (a) in accordance with the Bushfire Hazard Report, Bushfire Hazard Management Plan and s51 certificate (Castellan Consulting, 12 December 2024, Rev 02) for DA-2024-286 and the Bushfire Hazard Report, Bushfire Hazard Management Plan and s51 certificate (Castellan Consulting, 24 March 2025, Rev 01) for DA-2023-25, but modified to include the internal private link road and demonstrate and certify that the development meets requirements of Clause E1.6.1 A3.
- (b) be to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services.

When endorsed, these plans form part of the permit.

5. Prior to commencement of on-site works, engineering design drawings must be submitted to Council for approval. The engineering plans and specifications must be prepared and certified by a professional Civil Engineer. Plans must be to satisfaction of the Director Engineering Services and comply with:

- Tasmanian Standard Drawings
- Austroads Standards and Australian Standards

- The endorsed Emergency Management Strategies required under condition 3 and the certified Bushfire Hazard Management Plans endorsed under condition 4.

The Plans must include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Design of the internal road network, and associated stormwater drainage system;
- (b) Soil and water management plan;
- (c) A Tree Protection Plan which is consistent with Council Plan Reference No. P2 (submitted on 10 February 2026), including:
 - (i) the details of individual native trees with a diameter >25cm at 1.4m from natural ground level and their associated tree protection zones relative to all development and works;
 - (ii) trees to be removed and retained consistent with condition 6;
 - (iii) the extent of encroachment into tree protection zones, which must not exceed that shown in Council Plan Reference No. P2 (submitted on 10 February 2026) unless accompanied by an arborist assessment which verifies the extent of encroachment is tolerable, confirms the trees are feasible for retention and details any recommended mitigation measures;
 - (iv) tree protection measures consistent with conditions 8 and 9.

Once endorsed the plans will form part of the permit.

6. No more than three (3) trees identified as T44, PV11 and Tree 259 in Council Plan Reference No. P2 (submitted on 10 February 2026) are approved for removal for the purposes of this development.

These trees must not be removed prior to approval of an 'Application for Approval of Planning Start of Works Notice'.

No further felling, lopping, ringbarking or otherwise injuring or destroying of native vegetation or individual trees is to take place without the prior written permission of Council.

7. To offset the loss of one (1) tree of very high conservation value (a Eucalyptus globulus tree with a DBH >70cm), a financial contribution of \$570/tree must be paid into Council's Environmental Fund, to be used to manage and conserve the habitat of the swift parrot in the vicinity of Kingston or an area that supports equivalent biodiversity values within the municipality.

This offset must be paid prior to approval of an 'Application for Approval of Planning Start of Works Notice' and removal of the tree.

8. All remaining native vegetation identified for retention in Council Plan Reference No. P2 (submitted on 10 February 2026) must be appropriately protected during and after construction in accordance with AS 4970-2025. This includes but is not limited to implementation of the following measures:

A. Prior to Construction:

Prior to the commencement of any on-site works (including but not limited to vegetation removal, excavations, placement of fill, delivery of building/construction materials and/or temporary buildings):

- (a) Installing tree protection fencing in accordance with condition 9.
- (b) Providing certification by the Project Arborist of satisfactory implementation of these

tree protection measures to the Manager Development Services prior to the commencement of any on-site works.

B. During Construction:

Maintaining tree protection measures required above for the duration of the construction.

C. Post Construction:

- (a) For trees within Lot 555 Alfreds Garden (CT 187583/555), retaining and managing retained trees in accordance with the executed Part 5 Agreement required under condition 4 of DA-2025-23 and the existing conservation Part 5 Agreement (Dealing No. E167943).
- (b) For trees within 67 Village Drive (CT 186856/1), retaining and managing trees within the conservation zone in accordance with the executed Part 5 Agreement required under condition of 2 of DA-2024-286 and DA-2025-23, and adhering to the following tree management measures post construction for all other areas within the tree protection zone but outside the footprint of the approved works:
 - (i) the existing soil level must not be altered around the tree protection zone of the trees (including the disposal of fill, placement of materials or the scalping of the soil);
 - (ii) the tree protection zone must be free from the storage of fill, contaminates or other materials;
 - (iii) machinery and vehicles are not permitted to access the tree protection zone; and
 - (iv) development and associated works are not permitted unless otherwise approved by Council in writing or otherwise in accordance with the law.

9. Tree protection fencing required under condition 8 must:

- (a) Be located on the edge of the tree protection zone, unless the outer edge of works as shown on the endorsed plan are closer, in which case this tree protection fencing may be reduced to the minimum amount necessary to allow the works to be completed.
- (b) Exclude the following from the vegetation protection zones:
 - (i) Machine excavation including trenching.
 - (ii) Machinery movement.
 - (iii) Excavation of silt fencing.
 - (iv) Cultivation.
 - (v) Storage.
 - (vi) Preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products.
 - (vii) Parking of vehicles and plant.
 - (viii) Refuelling.
 - (ix) Dumping waste.
 - (x) Placement of fill.
 - (xi) Lighting of fires.

- (xii) Soil level changes.
 - (xiii) Temporary or permanent installation of utilities and signs.
 - (xiv) Physical damage to the trees.
- (c) Be constructed in accordance with the following requirements:
- (i) Utilise barrier mesh and star pickets fencing unless otherwise approved in writing.
 - (ii) Form a visual and physical barrier.
 - (iii) Be a minimum height of 1.5 metres above ground level.
 - (iv) Include signage clearly marked "Tree Protection Zone - No Entry" on all sides.
10. Prior to the commencement of any on-site works, including vegetation removal or modification, demolition, construction, excavations, placement of fill, delivery of building/construction materials and/or temporary buildings, an 'Application for Approval of Planning Start of Works Notice' must be lodged with Council's Planning Department.

This application must be lodged a minimum of 14 days prior to commencement of on-site works and works must not commence until this notice has been approved by the Manager Development Services.

11. To reduce the spread of weeds or pathogens, all machinery must take appropriate hygiene measures prior to entering and leaving the site as per the Weed and Disease Planning and Hygiene Guidelines 2015 produced by the Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

Any imported materials must be from a weed and pathogen free source to prevent introduction of new weeds and pathogens to the area.

12. Ongoing use and development must be in accordance with the Emergency Management Strategies endorsed by the Tasmania Fire Service and required under condition 3 and the certified Bushfire Hazard Reports and the associated Bushfire Hazard Management Plans endorsed under condition 4.

Once implemented, all bushfire hazard management measures must be maintained for the life of the approved use and development in accordance with the endorsed Emergency Management Strategies required under condition 3 and the certified Bushfire Hazard Management Plans endorsed under condition 4.

ADVICE

- A. In accordance with section 53(5) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* this permit lapses after a period of two years from the date on which it is granted if the use or development in respect of which it is granted is not substantially commenced within that period.
- B. The approval in this permit is under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* and does not provide any approvals under other Acts including, but not limited to *Building Act 2016*, *Urban Drainage Act 2013*, *Food Act 2003* or Council by-laws.

If your development involves demolition, new buildings or alterations to buildings (including plumbing works or onsite wastewater treatment) it is likely that you will be required to get approvals under the *Building Act 2016*. Change of use, including visitor accommodation, may also require approval under the *Building Act 2016*. Advice should be sought from Council's Building Department or an independent building surveyor to establish any requirements.

- C. The proposed development has been assessed as low risk plumbing work. The Plumber is to provide the following documentation to Council after the plumbing work is completed:
- (a) A Form 80;
 - (b) A Form 71B; and
 - (c) An 'As Constructed' drainage plan at a scale of 1:200 in accordance with the Director's Specified List Schedule 3.

CARRIED

Public Copy

PLANNING AUTHORITY SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open session resumed at 5.51pm

13 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

14 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

At the time the Minutes was compiled no Petitions had been received.

15 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C101/6-2026

15.1 LAND LEASE - KINGBOROUGH SPORTS PRECINCT

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That on completion of a subdivision and issue of title for ~7,785m² of land within the Kingborough Sports Precinct, the Chief Executive Officer be authorised to negotiate a long-term lease agreement with the State Government for use of the land for the purpose of a High Performance Training Centre for the Tasmanian JackJumpers and Jewels basketball teams with the following key terms and conditions to apply:

- (a) Term – 99 years.
- (b) Rental – peppercorn with full rates and charges applicable to the property to apply.
- (c) Use – High Performance Training and Administration Centre and associated uses.
- (d) Asset ownership - lease applies to land only with the Crown to be the owner of all assets constructed thereon and responsible for full asset depreciation costs.
- (e) Insurance – Crown to self-insure.
- (f) Assignment – subject to landlord consent.
- (g) Improvements – subject to statutory approvals with GM consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

CARRIED

C102/6-2026

15.2 AGM NOTICE OF MOTION RESPONSE - SUPPORTING RESIDENTS TO IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover
Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That the response to the motion is noted and that a report communicating the outcome of the investigation be brought to Council in June 2026.

CARRIED

C103/6-2026

15.3 AGM MOTION - ENGAGEMENT WITH RURAL COMMUNITIES

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley
 Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That Council resolves to consider investigating and recommending, where appropriate, the use of additional engagement tools and methods to better enable rural and semi-rural communities to engage with Council on matters that may affect them and that a report back to Council be provided by August 2026.

CARRIED

C104/6-2026

15.4 TREASURY MANAGEMENT POLICY REVIEW

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli
 Seconded: Cr David Bain

That Council approves the policy 3.8 Treasury Management, as attached to this report.

CARRIED

C105/6-2026

15.5 PROPOSED NEW ROAD NAME - 'TRIAL BAY VIEW', KETTERING

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That Council endorse the road name 'Trial Bay View' for use within the subdivision currently located at 461 Channel Highway, Kettering.

CARRIED

C106/6-2026

15.6 APPENDICES

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley
 Seconded: Cr David Bain

That the Appendices attached to the Agenda be received and noted.

CARRIED

16 NOTICES OF MOTION

There were no Notices of Motion.

C107/6-2026

17 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

Confirmation of Minutes

Regulation 40(6) *At the next closed meeting, the minutes of the previous closed meeting, after any necessary correction, are to be confirmed as the true record by the council or council committee and signed by the chairperson of the closed meeting.*

Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 17(2)(i) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

Lease of Facilities - Kingborough Sports Centre

Regulation 17(2)(c), and (2)(g) *information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage or impose a commercial disadvantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposes to conduct, business, and proposals for the council to acquire land or an interest in land or for the disposal of land.*

Tender Assessment - AB2601 Wyburton Place and Clare Street Reconstruction

Regulation 17(2)(e) *contracts, and tenders, for the supply of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY AND BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

In accordance with the *Council Meetings & Councillor Workshops Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting ceased.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 7.02pm

Cr Antolli left the room at 7.02pm

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.21pm

C108/6-2026

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Lease of Facilities - Kingborough Sports Centre	Negotiation of lease authorised
Tender Assessment - AB2601 Wyburton Place and Clare Street Reconstruction	Tender awarded to JRV Civil Construction Pty Ltd for \$1,089,706.16 excl GST

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.22pm

.....
(Confirmed)

.....
(Date)